

Whitechurch Securities Ltd

Assessment of Value Report April 2023 - Platforms

For professional advisers only

### **Contents**

#### Introduction

#### **Your Duty**

#### Our approach to value assessments

- » Quality of Service
- » Performance
- » Mandate
- » Cost
- » Price (Comparable Market Rates)

#### **Summary of Findings**

- » Prestige Range
- » PMS Dynamic Range

#### **PMS Dynamic Services**

**Prestige Services** 

#### **Important Notes**

# FOR UK FINANCIAL ADVISERS ONLY, NOT APPROVED FOR USE BY RETAIL CUSTOMERS AND SHOULDN'T BE RELIED UPON BY ANY OTHER PERSON - SHOULD NOT BE RELIED UPON BY RETAIL CONSUMERS.

This publication is issued and approved by Whitechurch Securities Limited which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority. All contents of the publication are correct at the date of printing. We have made great efforts to ensure the accuracy of the information provided and do not accept any responsibility for errors or omissions. This type of investment is not suitable for everyone. The contents of this brochure do not constitute personal advice. We recommend you take professional advice before entering into any obligations or transactions that you are uncertain of, to ensure suitability. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Investment returns cannot be guaranteed and you may not get back the full amount you invested. The stockmarket should not be considered as a suitable place for short-term investment. Levels and bases of, and reliefs from, taxation are subject to change and values depend on the circumstances of the investor.

### Introduction

Under the new Consumer Duty rules the Financial Conduct Authority now requires all wealth managers to complete an annual value assessment for each product and service we manage. For the purposes of Consumer Duty, Whitechurch Securities is a manufacturer of the investment services included in this guide.

The FCA defines 'value' as the relationship between the overall price the client pays for the products or services and the benefits they are likely to receive.

Within this document, you will find details on our methodology and how we have approached our analysis, a high-level summary of our findings and a more detailed breakdown of each of the individual services.

# **Your Duty**

As an Intermediary and/or distributor of our products/services where there is a retail investor at the end of the distribution chain, you are subject to certain expectations and requirements under the Duty.

We and you, where you are an FCA-authorised firm, will become directly subject to the Duty on 31 July 2023. As required thereunder, certain information-sharing requirements will apply between us in relation to our respective roles in the distribution chain.

We will continue to make available to you information on the target market for our fund strategies through our PROD factsheet. As you will be aware, this makes available to you information on our strategies and the target market. The factsheet provides general information on our services and the target investor (including their type, knowledge and/or experience, ability to bear losses, risk tolerance and investment objective). It also contains details regarding both the proposed distribution strategy. In turn, we will continue to expect you to provide the information necessary for us to carry out reviews and to check that our services remain consistent with the needs, characteristics, and objectives of their target market. We expect this to include (among other categories):

- Information on sales, including summary information on the type of investor to whom a fund has been distributed and identifying any sales made outside the target market that we have identified.
- A summary of complaints received.
- Responses from investors to questions that we have suggested for the purposes of obtaining feedback from a client sample; and
- Information on the product governance reviews carried out by you.

We will rely on you, as a distributor, to ensure that you have the necessary understanding and knowledge of the services to see that each is distributed in accordance with the needs, characteristics and objectives of its target market and not made available to any investors for whom the portfolio is not suitable.

Feedback will continue to be requested via the annual questionnaire.

# Our Approach to Value Assessment

The report covers our assessment as at 30th April 2023.

The assessment is made around five main pillars:

## **Quality of Service**

To assess the quality of service we have looked at the client journey split into three stages and assessed our performance:

- Initial application, account set up and investment.
- Ongoing service including quality of investment process, response times to queries, instructions and communications.
- Withdrawals and transfers including ease of encashment.

### **Performance**

We believe investment performance should be assessed over the medium to long term and have assessed performance over a 5-year period versus the relevant benchmark, net of fees. Performance measurement is based on the model portfolio in the strategy and is not an individual portfolio value assessment as there can be many reasons for divergence of performance and in the service provided.

### **Mandate**

We have assessed each portfolio versus its mandate to ensure it conforms to all parameters including yield, risk, and ethical parameters.

### Cost

We have considered both the direct and indirect costs incurred to deliver the range of services to clients which include:

- Costs exclusive to portfolio management such as investment team and administration teams.
- Costs to support the services such as sales, marketing and compliance.
- Standard business overhead such as technology and office running costs.





## Price (Comparable Market Rates)

In the meantime, we have considered the total cost of our portfolios, by looking at:

Investment service fees - Annual management charge.

Underlying product charges - Including underlying fund costs.

Any other additional charges - Additional charges such as dealing fees on investment trusts are handled by the respective platforms and are therefore out of scope for our Platform Assessment of Value.

Whilst we have not included the costs associated with advice, we have considered these in our assessment as our services are sold via financial advisers.

We have assessed the 'Total cost' of each strategy in relation to other comparable strategies we run and in relation to the total costs of similar products offered by a range of competitors.

### Summary of Findings

**Key features and benefits** - We have found that our discretionary management services' range of features and benefits can meet a broad variety of client needs.

**Quality of service** - Overall our quality of service is good and reflected in a low number of client complaints and positive feedback.

**Performance** - In the main period over the medium to long-term, performance has been good and provided value for clients. Where any service has underperformed, we have provided an explanation.

**Price** - Our charges and fee structures were found to be reasonable for our services versus comparable services on the market with similar-sized companies.


**Costs** - For the services offered we have found the costs of the portfolios are reflective of the cost to deliver the service and are appropriately managed at corporate level.

The assessment is based on a number of different criteria for each area that can be measured to provide a summary of our standard.

Each item is Red-Amber-Green rated leading to an overall assessment.



Provides good value, expected standard achieved





Does not provide good value: Action needed to improve or change

# Prestige Range

Strategy	Performance	Mandate	General Cost	Comparable Market Rates	Quality of Service	Overall Rating
Ethical Income & Growth 4						
Ethical Income & Growth 5						
Ethical Income & Growth 6						
Ethical Income & Growth 7						

# PMS Active Range

Strategy	Performance	Mandate	General Cost	Comparable Market Rates	Quality of Service	Overall Rating
PMS Ethical Balanced						

# PMS Dynamic Range

Strategy	Performance	Mandate	General Cost	Comparable Market Rates	Quality of Service	Overall Rating
Dynamic Defensive						
Dynamic Cautious						
Dynamic Balanced						
Dynamic Steady Growth						
Dynamic Growth						
Responsible Dynamic Defensive						
Responsible Dynamic Cautious						
Responsible Dynamic Balanced						
Responsible Dynamic Steady Growth			•			
Responsible Dynamic Growth						



# Key Features and Benefits

This is a managed portfolio service offering clients a choice of portfolios. Only the Prestige Ethical range of portfolios are currently available on platform which offer strategies with an Income and Growth objective conforming to strict ethical paramaters and ESG criteria across a range of risk levels from 4-7.

The portfolios are actively managed on a discretionary basis and invested predominantly in active funds.

Performance is published monthly along with a monthly market commentary. All available on the website along with asset allocation and quarterly performance.

Ethical range of portfolios are available on a limited number of platforms.

## **Quality of Service**

Overall, we believe we offer a good quality of service to clients with excellent levels of customer service which is reflected in good client feedback and a very low level of complaints. We acknowledge there are areas surrounding our technology which need improvement to facilitate a better client/adviser experience and continue to look at options to enhance this.

### **Performance**

We have assessed the portfolios over a 5-year period versus their relevant benchmarks which shows:

Our risk level four portfolios have consistently outperformed the benchmark, whilst our higher risk portfolios have in some cases outperformed and, in some cases, underperformed. This has been dictated by investment objective/style, with the ethical portfolios showing higher levels of outperformance.

Portfolio performance will vary throughout the investment cycle and the underlying client portfolios will be further affected by product wrappers. For further information on portfolio performance please see the factsheets and literature available on the website.

#### **Price**

The service has a flat pricing structure across all platforms.

The portfolios invest solely in third-party funds meaning a significant part of the total cost is made up of underlying fund costs. Underlying fund costs are considered as part of our investment process to ensure we get competitive rates and keep these costs as low as possible for clients.

In comparison to other Whitechurch services, this service is more expensive than the passive range due to a higher level of active management and fund research required.

We have compared the total cost to clients of this service with other firms of comparable size to Whitechurch, and offering a similar service, and have found it to sit at the higher end of the range but remain comparable with competitors.

### Cost

The majority of the costs attributable to the running of the strategies are staff costs associated with the investment teams with smaller costs coming from support costs from marketing, sales, compliance and general overheads.

We feel the charges of the Prestige service are reflective of the costs involved in running the service.

## Conclusion

Based on our analysis we believe the Prestige Investment Management Service represents value for money for clients and the total costs are proportionate and fair.



### Key Features and Benefits

This is a managed portfolio service offering on platform a lower cost balanced ethical portfolio which is managed to strict ethical parameters and ESG criteria.

The portfolio is actively managed on a discretionary basis and invests predominantly in active funds.

Performance is published monthly along with a monthly market commentary and asset allocation and quarterly performance are also published quarterly and available on the website.

PMS Ethical Balanced portfolio is offered on several platforms.

## **Quality of Service**

Overall, we believe we offer a good quality of service to clients with excellent levels of customer service which is reflected in good client feedback and a very low level of complaints.

### **Performance**

We have assessed the portfolio over a 5-year period versus the relevant benchmark, which shows:

The PMS Ethical Balanced portfolio has outperformed.

### Price

The service has a flat pricing structure as it is aimed at smaller-value clients across a narrower value range.

The portfolio invests solely in third-party funds meaning a significant part of the total cost is made up of underlying fund costs. Underlying fund costs are considered as part of our investment process to ensure we get competitive rates and keep these costs as low as possible for clients.

In comparison to other Whitechurch services, this service is more expensive than the passive range due to a higher level of active management and fund research required.

We have compared the total cost to clients of this service with other firms of comparable size to Whitechurch, and offering a similar service, and have found the PMS Ethical Balanced strategy is in line with similar MPS products.

### Cost

The majority of the costs attributable to the running of the strategies are staff costs associated with the investment teams with smaller costs coming from support costs from marketing, sales, compliance and general overheads.

## Conclusion

Based on our analysis we believe the PMS Ethical Balanced portfolio does represent overall good value for money for clients.



### Key Features and Benefits

This is a managed portfolio service offering clients a choice of 10 portfolios across a range of risk levels from 3-7. The Responsible Dynamic range incorporates ethical screening and ESG criteria.

The portfolios have active asset allocation but are predominantly invested in passive funds making this a cheaper investment option. The portfolios are managed on a discretionary basis in line with the in-house investment process.

Performance is published monthly along with a monthly market commentary and asset allocation and quarterly performance are also published quarterly and available on the website.

Clients can invest via a range of third-party platforms.

### **Quality of Service**

Overall, we believe we offer a good quality of service to clients with excellent levels of customer service which is reflected in good client feedback and a very low level of complaints.

### **Performance**

We have assessed the portfolios over a 5-year period versus their relevant benchmarks which shows:

The low-risk (3) portfolios have underperformed the 'Bank of England +2%' benchmark over the period, however, this has been driven by the significant rise in interest rates in the last two years due to high inflation. We continue to monitor and review this situation.

All other PMS Dynamic portfolios have outperformed the benchmark over the 5-year period.

The Responsible Dynamic portfolios do not yet have a long-term track record, with the exception of the Responsible Dynamic Defensive (risk 3). All the portfolios have outperformed or performed in line with their relevant benchmarks.

### **Price**

The service has a flat pricing structure as it is aimed at smaller value clients across a narrower value range and is designed to be accessible via platforms where scaled pricing structures are not available.

The portfolios invest solely in third-party funds meaning a significant part of the total cost is made up of underlying fund costs. Underlying fund costs are considered as part of our investment process to ensure we get competitive rates and keep these costs as low as possible for clients.

In comparison to other Whitechurch services, this service is considerably cheaper than our active offering reflecting the lower level of fund research required.

We have compared the total costs to clients of this service with other firms of comparable size to Whitechurch, and offering a similar service, and have found the pricing is comparable.

### Cost

The majority of the costs attributable to the running of the strategies are staff costs associated with the investment teams with smaller costs coming from support costs from marketing, sales, compliance and general overheads.

We feel the charges of the PMS Dynamic service are reflective of the costs involved in running the service.

# Conclusion

Based on our analysis we believe the PMS Dynamic range represents value for money for clients and the total costs are proportionate and fair.

